

# Identifying efficient Users of AR-Systems

Aufgabenstellerin: Prof. Gudrun Klinker

Betreuer: Björn Schwerdtfeger

Erwin Yükselgil

6 November 2007

Chair for Computer Aided Medical Procedures & Augmented Reality

Department of Computer Science | Technische Universität München



#### Contents:

- The Idea
- The Motivation
- The Tests
- Results
- Suggestions and thoughts





- Identifying Efficient Users of Augmented Reality Systems by means of
  - intelligence, psychological, and 3D perception tests
  - social background analysis
  - motivation tests, computer anxiety and efficacy tests
  - etc.



## Motivation for Identifying Efficient Users of Augmented Reality Systems

- designing a "good" UI
  - cultural differences
  - gender differences
  - individual differences
  - age, experience
  - costs and time
- Theory
  - if assessment method exists
    - can "deficient" UI be used
    - can a production gain be achieved



# **Related Work**

- Cultural Consideration in Interactive System Design (U. Neumann, A. Majoros)
- Gender Differences in Cue Preference During Path Integration in Virtual Environments

(F.C. Fortenbaugh, S. Chaudhury, J.C. Hicks, L. Hao, K.A. Turano)

- Evaluating the effectiveness of Augmented Reality and Wearable Computing for manufactoring assebly task (K. M. Baird)
- Identifying Likekly Successful Users of Virtual Reality Systems (T. Howe)





## The Tests

#### • NEO-PI-R

- The Big Five
  - Neuroticism
  - Extraversion
  - Openness
  - Conscientiousness
  - Agreeableness
- Duration ca. 45 min. (200 questions)



d

#### I-S-T 2000 R

- contains exercises for
  - verbal and numeric abilities (each 60 questions)
  - spatial sense (60 questions)

d

- testing the capacity for remembering (each: 10 items to learn, 10 questions)
- Duration: ca. 100 min.





121



е





141

e





# The stage-run





# 3D-Labyrinth





## The AR -Tests





### The AR Visualisations









# The Results

- 31 subjects were tested
- picking errors were negligible

- tendencies for predictors for picking time
  - stage-run (strongest)
  - verbal IQ and Neuroticism



# Suggestions and thoughts

#### Should have

- more subjects (> 200)
- standardised shelf distance
- same overall distance for each task
- a measure for level of difficulty for visualisations

#### Can do

- different IQ and/or personality tests
- add tests (locus of control, computer anxiety & efficacy etc.)
- taking gender, social background and experience into account