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## Structure From Motion (SFM) with Uncalibrated Cameras

Requirements $A$ set of pictures taken from one camera in motion or multiple cameras.

Goal Compute from the pictures:

- a (Euclidean) 3D model of the scene structure.
- the camera parameters (intrinsic and extrinsic).

Assumptions - The scene is rigid.

- Some of the camera intrinsic parameters are known or constant.
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## Why Using Uncalibrated Cameras?

- Calibrated approaches require (at least) one image of a calibration object (i.e. of which the Euclidean 3D model is known).
- Uncalibrated approaches:
- Do not require a special material, neither user interaction.
- Impose only mild assumptions on the imaging conditions (thus applicable to images from unknown sources, e.g. internet).
- Deal with on-line calibration ( useful when camera intrinsic parameters vary, due to e.g. zooming).
- Provide flexible and efficient methods for camera self-calibration.


## Preliminaries



Scene structure: Set of 3D points $\mathbf{X}_{j}$, with $j=\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Other features can be used (e.g. lines, curves, planes).

Camera geometry: Affine or perspective (pin-hole) projection, represented by matrices $\mathbf{P}^{i}$, with $i=\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Images: 2D points matched across the images with coordinates $\mathrm{X}_{j}^{i}$.
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## Is it Possible?: A Counting Argument

- Look for cameras $\mathbf{P}^{i}$ and 3D points $\mathbf{X}_{j}$ that explain image points:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j} \quad i=\{1, \ldots, m\} \quad j=\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

- For $n$ points projected in $m$ images :
- Each point has 2 coordinates.
- We can build $2 n m$ constraints.
- We want to recover $m$ projection matrices $P^{i}$ (Each $3 \times 4$ with 11 d.o.f. under affine assumption).
- $11 m+3 n$ unknowns ?(3n due to 3D point coordinates).
- Projective reconstruction up to a projective transformation ( $4 \times 4$ matrix with 15 DoFs).
- $11 m+3 n-15$ unknowns
- The problem can be solved (in general) $2 n m \geq 11 m+3 n-15$ e.g. $n=10 m=3$, then $60>33+30-15=48$.


## Projective Reconstruction
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## SFM Method Overview

(1) Find correspondences across the images.
(2) Initialization with a "good enough" sub-optimal estimate, e.g. using a Factorization-based algorithm:

- Batch.
- Sequential.
- Hierarchical.

3 Bundle Adjustment (Optional):

- Non-linear minimization of the reprojection error (discrepancy between measured and predicted feature coordinates).

4. Self-Calibration (Optional).

- Estimation of the intrinsic parameters of the camera using only the information available in the images taken by that camera.


## Batch Algorithms



## Batch Algorithms

- Uniform and simultaneous use of all data.
- Two type of approaches:

Factorization - Simple and fast.

- All points need to be seen in all images.
- measurements (points) need to be valid.
- Orthographic [Tomasi, 1992].
- Affine [Kahl and Heyden, 1998].
- Projective [Sturm, 1996], iterative [Triggs, 1996; Heyden, 1997].

Closure constraints Handles unseen and erroneous points, but suffers from algebraic approximation, e.g. [Kahl and Heyden, 1998].
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## Affine Factorization

Assumptions:

- All points are seen in all views.
- There are no blunders.
- Affine camera model (uncalibrated) 8 d.o.f.:

- $\mathrm{X}_{j}^{i}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{j}$ in inhomogeneous coordinates.


## Affine Factorization: Camera model



## Affine Factorization: Goal

Find a reconstruction of the scene that minimizes the geometric error in the image coordinate measurements. That is, estimate:

- Cameras $\left\{\mathrm{P}^{i}, \mathrm{t}^{i}\right\}$
- 3D points $\left\{\mathbf{X}_{j}\right\}$
such that the distance between the estimated image points $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathrm{t}^{i}$ and measured image points $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}$ is minimized:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\mathbf{P}^{i}, \mathbf{t}^{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}-\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
\min _{\mathbf{P}^{i}, \mathbf{t}^{i}, \mathbf{x}_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}-\left(\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{t}^{i}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Affine Factorization: Algorithm Overview

(1) Compute the translations $\mathrm{t}^{i}$.
(2) Center the data.
(3) Construct the $2 m \times n$ measurement matrix M (a single matrix equation).
(4) Factorize M, i.e. find the closest rank 3 matrix which is closest to M in Frobenious norm (SVD of M truncated to rank 3).

## 1. Compute the Translations

- Calculate the average of the points seen e.g. in image 1 :

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathbf{x}_{1}^{1} & = & \mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{X}_{1}+\mathrm{t}^{1} \\
& \vdots & \\
\mathbf{x}_{n}^{1} & = & \mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{X}_{n}+\mathrm{t}^{1} \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{1} & = & \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{P}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathrm{t}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

## 1. Compute the Translations

- Calculate the average of the points seen e.g. in image 1:

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1}^{1}=\mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{X}_{1}+\mathbf{t}^{1}
$$

$$
\mathbf{x}_{n}^{1} \quad=\quad \mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{X}_{n}+\mathbf{t}^{1}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{1}=\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{P}^{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{t}^{1}
$$



- Affine cameras map the centroid of a set of 3D points to the centroid of their projection. Set the (arbitrary) origin of the 3D coordinate frame to the centroid of the unknown 3D points $\left(\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{P}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \widehat{X}_{j}\right):$

$$
\mathbf{t}^{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}
$$

## 2. Center Image Points

- Transform the image measurements by subtracting the centroid of the points in each image.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{t}^{i} \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i} & \triangleq \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}-\mathbf{t}^{i} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}+\mathbf{t}^{i}\right)-\mathbf{t}^{i} \\
& =\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The resultant centered projection model is bilinear.
- Removing the translation, simplifies the problem:

$$
\min _{\mathbf{P}^{2} i \mathbf{x}_{j}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}-\left(\mathbf{P}_{j}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

- All points must be seen in all cameras. (why?)


## 3. A Single Matrix Equation

- Gather the centered projections for image 1 in a single matrix equation:

- Further, gather the equations for all images



## 3. A Single Matrix Equation



- The $(2 m \times n)$ matrix $\mathbf{M}$ is the measurement matrix.
- The $(2 m \times 3)$ matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is the (affine) projection matrix.
- The $(3 \times n)$ matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is the structure matrix.


## 3. A Single Matrix Equation



- The $(2 m \times n)$ matrix M is the measurement matrix.
- The $(2 m \times 3)$ matrix $\mathbf{P}$ is the (affine) projection matrix.
- The $(3 \times n)$ matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is the structure matrix.
- In the absence of noise, the measurement matrix has rank 3 at most.


## 3. A Single Matrix Equation

- In the presence of noise $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{PS}$ is not exactly verified.
- We look for the matrix $\hat{M}$ that is the closest possible to M in Frobenious norm sense.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{M}-\hat{\mathbf{M}}\|_{F}^{2} & =\sum_{i j}\left(M_{i j}-\hat{M}_{i j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i j}\left\|\left(\mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}-\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{j}^{i}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i j}\left\|\left(\mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}-\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}\right)\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Factorize M



- The measurement matrix is factorized with SVD as $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{V}^{\top}$.


## 4. Factorize M



- The estimate of the joint projection matrix $\hat{\mathbb{P}}$ is the first 3 columns of U .
- The estimate of the structure matrix $\hat{\mathrm{S}}$ is the first 3 rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$.


## 4. Factorize M



- Closest rank-3 approximation $\hat{M}$ yields Maximum Likelihood Estimate (assumption of isotropic mean-zero Gaussian noise).
- Affine ambiguity.


## Identify the estimated parameters



## Summary of the Algorithm

Input: $n$ points in $m$ images $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}$.
Outputs: 3D affine reconstruction defined by 3D points $\mathrm{X}_{j}^{i}$ and cameras ( $\mathbf{P}^{i}, \mathrm{t}^{i}$ ).
(1) Compute the translation vectors $\mathrm{t}^{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{X}_{j}^{i}$.
(2) Center the image points $\mathrm{m}_{j}^{i}=\mathrm{x}_{j}^{i}-\mathrm{t}^{i}$.
(3) Form and factorize the centered measurement matrix using SVD: $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{V}^{\top}$.
(4) Extract the joint projection matrix $\widehat{P}$ as the first 3 columns of $U$.
(5) Extract the joint structure matrix $\widehat{\mathrm{S}}$ as the first 3 rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$.
(6) Extract the individual projection matrices $\mathrm{P}^{i}$ from $\widehat{\mathrm{P}}$ and the individual 3D points $\mathrm{X}_{j}$ from $\widehat{\mathrm{S}}$.
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## Orthographic Factorization

[Tomasi Kanade, 1992] method first estimates the affine structure and then upgrades it to Euclidean. It operates on a batch of at least 3 frames with known correspondences (i.e., with tracked features).

- Factorize $M$ through singular value decomposition:

$$
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{U} \Sigma \mathbf{V}^{\top}
$$

- The affine reconstruction can be computed as:

$$
\tilde{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{U}, \quad \tilde{\mathrm{~S}}=\mathrm{V}^{\top}
$$

- Upgrade to a metric reconstruction, by finding an invertible matrix A, such that:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{P}}=\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{A}^{-1}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{S}}=\mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{S}}
$$

## Orthographic Factorization

To find A additionally consider metric constraints under an orthographic camera model:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{3 \times 4}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
f & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & f & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 / f & f
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & 1
\end{array}\right]_{4 \times 4}
$$

- This model appears as the limit of the general perspective projection as the focal length $f$ becomes large with respect to the distance of the camera to the object.


## Orthographic Factorization

To find A additionally consider metric constraints under an orthographic camera model:

$$
\mathcal{P}_{3 \times 4}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & 1
\end{array}\right]_{4 \times 4}
$$

- This model appears as the limit of the general perspective projection as the focal length $f$ becomes large with respect to the distance of the camera to the object.


## Orthographic Factorization

To find A additionally consider metric constraints under an orthographic camera model:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{3 \times 4}^{i} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 4}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & 1
\end{array}\right]_{4 \times 4} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i \top} & t_{1}^{i} \\
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{i \top} & t_{2}^{i} \\
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & 1
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

- This model appears as the limit of the general perspective projection as the focal length $f$ becomes large with respect to the distance of the camera to the object.
- 5 d.o.f.s ( 3 due to the rotation and 2 due to the translation)


## Orthographic Factorization

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i \top}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i \top} & 0 \\
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{i \top} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remove the translation as in the affine case. Then, the orthographic projection matrix is characterized by two orthonormal vectors $\mathrm{r}_{1}^{i}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i T} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i}=1 \\
& \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=1 \\
& \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=0
\end{aligned} \rightarrow
$$

## Orthographic Factorization

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i \top}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i \top} & 0 \\
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{i \top} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remove the translation as in the affine case. Then, the orthographic projection matrix is characterized by two orthonormal vectors $\mathrm{r}_{1}^{i}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{llllc}
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i}=1 & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} & \mathrm{~A}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{-\top} & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i}=1 & \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=1 \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=0 & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i \top} & \mathrm{~A}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{-\top} & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=1 & \text { Remember that } \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} & \mathrm{~A}^{-1} \mathrm{~A}^{-\top} & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=0 & \hat{\mathbf{P}}=\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \mathrm{A}^{-1}
\end{array}
$$

## Orthographic Factorization

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i \top}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{r}_{1}^{i \top} & 0 \\
\mathbf{r}_{2}^{i \top} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Remove the translation as in the affine case. Then, the orthographic projection matrix is characterized by two orthonormal vectors $\mathrm{r}_{1}^{i}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{i}^{i \top} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i}=1 & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} & \text { C } & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i}=1 \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=1 \\
\widehat{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=0 & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i \top} & \text { C } & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=1 \\
& \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{1}^{i \top} & \text { C } & \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{2}^{i}=0
\end{array}
$$

## Orthographic Factorization



- The orthographic constraints lead to 3 linear equations on symmetric matrix C (6DoF)
- A can be obtained from C through Cholesky factorization and inversion.
- The Cholesky factorization decomposes of a symmetric, positive-definite matrix into the product of a lower triangular matrix and its conjugate transpose $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{LL}^{\top}$
- $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}^{-1}$
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## Perspective Factorization

According to perspective camera model (after centering the points):

$$
\lambda_{j}^{i} \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{p}_{1}^{i \top} \\
\mathbf{p}_{2}^{i \tau} \\
\mathbf{p}_{3}^{i \top}
\end{array}\right]_{3 \times 4} \quad \mathbf{X}_{j}
$$

- Each $\mathrm{P}^{i}$ is $3 \times 4$
- $\mathbf{X}_{j}$ is a $(4 \times 1)$ homogenous vector.
- $\mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}x_{j}^{i} \\ y_{j}^{i} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, with $x_{j}^{i}, y_{j}^{i}$ the centered image coordinates.
- $\lambda_{j}^{i}$ is a scale factor for each point: the "projective depth".


## Perspective Factorization

$$
\lambda_{j}^{i} \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{P}_{1}^{i \top} \\
\mathbf{P}_{2}^{i \top} \\
\mathbf{P}_{3}^{i \top}
\end{array}\right] \mathbf{X}_{j}
$$

- The single matrix equation becomes:



## Perspective Factorization

Can we still use factorization?

## Perspective Factorization

$$
\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1}^{1} \mathbf{m}_{1}^{1} & \lambda_{2}^{1} \mathbf{m}_{2}^{1} & \ldots & \lambda_{n}^{1} \mathbf{m}_{n}^{1} \\
\lambda_{1}^{2} \mathbf{m}_{1}^{2} & \lambda_{2}^{2} \mathbf{m}_{2}^{2} & \ldots & \lambda_{n}^{2} \mathbf{m}_{n}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\lambda_{1}^{m} \mathbf{m}_{1}^{m} & \lambda_{2}^{m} \mathbf{m}_{2}^{m} & \ldots & \lambda_{n}^{m} \mathbf{m}_{n}^{m}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{M}}=\underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{P}^{1} \\
\mathbf{P}^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{P}^{m}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{P}} \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathbf{X}_{1} & \mathbf{X}_{2} & \ldots & \mathbf{X}_{n}
\end{array}\right)}_{\mathbf{S}}
$$

- Collect measurements in one image $\mathbf{M}^{i}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{m}_{1}^{i} & \mathrm{~m}_{2}^{i} & \ldots & \mathrm{~m}_{n}^{i}\end{array}\right]$
- Collect projective depths per camera $\Lambda^{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}^{i}, \lambda_{2}^{i}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{i}\right)$.



## Perspective Factorization

| $\mathbf{M}^{1} \Lambda^{1}$ | $=$ | $\mathbf{P}^{1} \mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{M}^{2} \Lambda^{2}$ | $=$ | $\mathbf{P}^{2} \mathbf{S}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\mathbf{M}^{m} \Lambda^{m}$ | $=$ | $\mathbf{P}^{m} \mathbf{S}$ |

- $\mathrm{M}^{i}$ are known, but $\Lambda^{i}, \mathbb{P}^{i}$ and S are unknown.
- PS has rank 4: product of a $3 m \times 4$ and a $4 \times n$ matrices.
- Assume that $\Lambda^{i}$ are known, then PS can be computed.
- Use the singular value decomposition: $\mathbb{P S}=\mathbf{U \Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\top}$
- In the noise-free case $\Sigma=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$
- A reconstruction is obtained by setting:
- $\mathbf{P}=$ the first 4 columns of $U \Sigma$.
- $\mathbf{S}=$ the first 4 rows of $\mathbf{V}^{\top}$.


## Perspective Factorization

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{M}^{1} \Lambda^{1} \\
\mathbf{M}^{2} \Lambda^{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{M}^{m} \Lambda^{m}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{P S}
$$

- $\mathrm{M}^{i}$ are known, but $\Lambda^{i}, \mathrm{P}^{i}$ and S are unknown.
- PS has rank 4: product of a $3 m \times 4$ and a $4 \times n$ matrices.
- Assume that $\Lambda^{i}$ are known, then PS can be computed.
- Use the singular value decomposition: $\mathrm{PS}=\mathrm{U} \Sigma \mathrm{V}^{\top}$
- In the noise-free case $\Sigma=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{4}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$
- A reconstruction is obtained by setting:
- $\mathbf{P}=$ the first 4 columns of $U \Sigma$.
- $\mathrm{S}=$ the first 4 rows of $\mathrm{V}^{\top}$.


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).
(3) Normalize the depths $\left(\alpha^{i} \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}^{i}\right) \mathrm{m}_{j}^{i}=\left(\alpha^{i} \mathbf{P}^{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$. The closer $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, the error being minimized represents better the geometric distance. Heuristic:
- multiply each row of $\mathbb{P}^{i}$ by an $\alpha^{i}$ so that it has unit norm.
- Do the same with $\beta_{j}$ and the columns of $\mathbf{X}_{j}$


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).
(3) Normalize the depths $\left(\alpha^{i} \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}^{i}\right) \mathrm{m}_{j}^{i}=\left(\alpha^{i} \mathbf{P}^{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$.
(4) Factorize M and obtain an estimate of P and S .
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- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).
(3) Normalize the depths $\left(\alpha^{i} \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}^{i}\right) \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\left(\alpha^{i} \mathbf{P}^{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$.
(4) Factorize M and obtain an estimate of P and S .
(5) If $\sigma_{5}$ is sufficiently small then STOP.


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).
(3) Normalize the depths $\left(\alpha^{i} \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}^{i}\right) \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\left(\alpha^{i} \mathbf{P}^{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$.
(4) Factorize M and obtain an estimate of P and S .
(5) If $\sigma_{5}$ is sufficiently small then STOP.
(6) Use $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{P}$ and S to estimate $\Lambda^{i}$ from the camera equations (linearly) $\mathrm{m}^{i} \Lambda^{i}=\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{~S}$


## Iterative Perspective Factorization Algorithm

- When $\Lambda^{i}$ are unknown the following algorithm can be used:
(1) Normalize image data using isotropic scaling.
(2) Initialize $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$ (e.g. set $\lambda_{j}^{i}=1$, affine approximation).
(3) Normalize the depths $\left(\alpha^{i} \beta_{j} \lambda_{j}^{i}\right) \mathbf{m}_{j}^{i}=\left(\alpha^{i} \mathbf{P}^{i}\right)\left(\beta_{j} \mathbf{X}_{j}\right)$.
(4) Factorize M and obtain an estimate of P and S .
(5) If $\sigma_{5}$ is sufficiently small then STOP.
(6) Use m, P and S to estimate $\Lambda^{i}$ from the camera equations (linearly) $\mathrm{m}^{i} \Lambda^{i}=\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{~S}$
(7) GOTO 3.
- In general the algorithm minimizes a proximity measure $\operatorname{Prox}(\Lambda, \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{S})=\sigma_{5}$.
- Convergence is not guaranteed.
- Note that structure and motion are recovered up to an arbitrary projective transformation.


## Euclidean Update



- The affine and perspective reconstructions may be upgraded to a metric reconstruction by:
- Supplying metric information on the scene.
- Using auto-calibration methods.
- A combination of the two.
- Hartley Zisserman - Chap 10.
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## Sequential Algorithms



## Sequential Algorithms

- Register each view in turn.
- Pick a "small" number of views and compute a partial 3D model using e.g. factorization.
- Repeat until the 3D model is complete.
- Pick an unregistered view and apply resection, i.e. compute the camera matrix. The view must share sufficiently many points with the partial 3D model.
- Apply triangulation (intersection) to reconstruct new 3D features appearing in the latest registered view.


## Sequential Algorithms

Pros - Robustness to blunders is easily incorporated

- On-line processing

Cons - The error in the initial partial 3D model conditions the final complete 3D model, i.e. it may make the 3D model drift away from the optimal one.
Note: If computational cost is not an important criteria, Bundle Adjustment is launched in the inner loop to prevent drifting.
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## Hierarchical Algorithms



## Hierarchical Algorithms

- Split the image sequence into subsequences [Fitzgibbon et al., 1998 ; Nistr 2000].
- Reconstruct a partial 3D model from each subsequence using e.g. factorization.
- Hierarchically merge the partial 3D models (by computing a 3D homography, e.g. [Csurka et al., 1999]).
- This approach distributes the error over the entire sequence and can be easily made robust.


## Extensions

- Extension to paraperspective cameras [Poelman and Kanade, 1993].
- Other features (lines and conics) [Kahl and Heyden, 1998 ; Quan, 1997].
- Extension to projective cameras [Sturm and Triggs, 1996]. The projective depths of each image point are recovered before factorization and the algorithm does not longer minimize the reprojection error.
- Missing data [Jacobs, 1997 ; Martinec and Pajdla, 2002].
- Multibody [Costeira and Kanade, 1995].
- Deformable [Bregler et al., 2000].


## Recall: SFM Method Overview

(1) Initialization: Compute a "good enough" sub-optimal estimate.

- Batch.
- Sequential.
- Hierarchical algorithms.
(2) Bundle Adjustment (Optional):
- Non-linear minimization of the reprojection error (discrepancy between measured and predicted feature coordinates).
(3) Self-Calibration.


## Problem Statement

- 3D Points $X_{j}$, with
- with $j=\{1, \ldots, n\}$
- $n$ points.
- viewed by a set of cameras $\mathbb{P}^{i}$, with
- $i=\{1, \ldots, m\}$
- $m$ views.

Problem: Given the projections $\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}$ of the $n$ points on the $m$ cameras, find:

- The set of camera matrices $\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}$
- and the set of points $\widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}$
such that:

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}=\mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}
$$

## Noisy Measurements

- If the image measurements are noisy, then the projection equation is not satisfied exactly.

$$
\widehat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} \widehat{\mathbf{X}}_{j} \neq \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}
$$

- Use Maximum Likelihood estimation assuming noise is Gaussian.


## Maximum Likelihood

- Estimate projection matrices $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}$ and 3D points $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}$ which project exactly to corrected image points $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{j}^{i}$
- while minimizing the distance between the estimated $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}^{i}$ and the measured $\mathrm{x}_{j}^{i}$ points for every view.

$$
\min _{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} i, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}} \sum_{i j} d\left(\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}\right)^{2}
$$

- where $d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the geometric image distance between homogeneous points x and y .


## Bundle Adjustment

$$
\min _{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}} \sum_{i j} d\left(\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i} \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}, \mathbf{x}_{j}^{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## $\min _{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}, \hat{\mathbf{X}}_{j}} \cdots$

Adjust the bundle of rays between each camera center and the set of 3D points.

$$
\min _{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{j}}
$$

Adjust the bundle of rays between each 3D point and the set of camera centers.

## Non-linear Least Squares

Assume a problem can be modeled with a parameterized function $f$ (parameters p) such that:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}}=f(\mathbf{p})
$$

LSQ optimization seeks the parameters $p$ that minimize the difference between the measure x and estimation $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$ :

$$
\arg \min _{\mathbf{p}}\|\mathbf{x}-f(\mathbf{p})\|
$$

- Iterative optimization algorithms:
- Newton.
- Levenberg-Marquardt
- Sparse Levenberg-Marquardt


## Number of parameters to optimize

- Each camera matrix $\mathrm{P}^{i}$ has 11 DoFs.
- There are $m$ views.
- Each point $\mathbf{X}_{j}$ has 3 DoFs.
- There are $n$ points.

There are $3 n+11 m$ parameters to optimize !!!!
(This is a lower bound, it can be more due to over-parameterization)

- e.g. when using Levenberg Marquardt, matrices of $(3 n+11 m) \times(3 n+11 m)$ have to be factored or inverted, which is computationally expensive.


## Practical Implementation

- Initialization
- Use factorization for initialization.
- Use available information:
e.g. coplanarity leads to a closed form solution.
- Tackle the size of the problem by:
- Reducing the number of views $m$ or the number of points $n$.
- Do not include all the points or all the views. These can be then computed by resection or triangulation.
- Partition the data, e.g. hierarchical approach.
- Interleave: iterate between minimizing the projection error by:
- varying the entries of the cameras $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{i}$.
- varying the entries of the points $\hat{\mathbf{X}}^{j}$
- Use sparse methods.


## Bundle Adjustment

Used as a final step of any reconstruction.
Pros - Provides ML estimate.

- Tolerant to missing data.
- Assigns covariances to each measure.
- Can be extended to include priors and constraints on camera parameters and point positions.
Cons: - It requires a good initialization.
- It can become an extremely large minimization problem.


## Recall

A $3 \times 4$ projection matrix P can be decomposed into

$$
\mathbf{P} \propto \mathbf{K}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$(R ; t)$ is the pose or extrinsic parameters
K is the calibration or intrinsic parameters

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha f & s f & u_{0} \\
0 & f & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$f$ is the focal length
$\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ is the principal point
$\alpha$ is the aspect ratio
$s$ is the skew

## Problem Statement

- The projective 3D model is defined up to a projective transformation

$$
\mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{X}_{j} \propto \mathbf{P}^{i} \mathbf{H H}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{j}=\mathbf{P}^{i 0} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{0}
$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is a $3 \mathrm{D}(4 \times 4)$ homography, with $\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{H})=0$ and 15 parameters.

- The goal is to obtain a Euclidean 3D model defined up to a similarity (7 parameters).
- The number of unknowns is thus $15-7=8$
- We are looking for a projective transformation Z such that:
- $\mathrm{P}^{i} \mathrm{Z}$ are projection matrices
- $\mathrm{Z}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{j}$ are 3D points
in a Euclidean coordinate frame.


## Internal Camera Calibration

The internal camera calibration can be computed from prior knowledge (Chapter 19):

- on the scene, e.g. right angles, equal lengths.
- on the camera motion, e.g. pure translation, stereo rig.
- on the intrinsic parameters themselves:
- Assume they are all unknown and constant.
- Varying focal length calibration [Triggs, 1997 ; Pollefeys et al., 1998].
The estimated internal camera parameters allow us to compute a metric reconstruction from initially uncalibrated images
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## Example Applications



Automatic reconstruction of piecewise planar models from multiple views [Baillard,Zisserman-CVPR1999]

## Example Applications



Creating 3D models with a simple webcam [Pan, Reitmayr, Drummond BMVC 2009]

## Comercial Software

- 2d3 (www.2d3.com)
- Boujou (camera tracking)
- SteadyMove (video stabilizer)
- Realviz (bought by Autodesk)
- MatchMover (camera tracking)
- Stitcher (image mosaicing)
- ImageModeler (computer-aided 3D models from images)
- ...
- Google Street view
- Microsoft Fototurism


## Autostitch


http://people.cs.ubc.ca/~mbrown/autostitch/autostitch.html

## Street View


http://www.talkmunich.com/services/munich_map.php

## Bundler

## Bundler: Structure from Motion for Unordered Image Collections



## Photo Tourism



## Photosynth


http://photosynth.net/
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