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## Motivation

(i) Correspondence geometry: Given an image point $x$ in the first view, how does this constrain the position of the corresponding point $x$ ' in the second image?


## Motivation

(ii) Camera geometry (motion): Given a set of corresponding image points $\left\{x_{i} \leftrightarrow x_{i}^{\prime}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, n$, what are the cameras $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ for the two views?


## Motivation

(iii) Scene geometry (structure): Given corresponding image points $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}$ and cameras $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$, what is the position of (their pre-image) X in space?


## Outline - Two-View Geometry

- Epipolar Geometry
- 3D Reconstruction
- Fundamental Matrix Computation



## The Epipolar Geometry



C, C', $x, x^{\prime}$ and $X$ are coplanar

## The Epipolar Geometry



What if only $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}$ ', x are known?

## The Epipolar Geometry



All points on $\pi$ project on I and l'

## The Epipolar Geometry



Family of planes $\pi$ and lines I and I' Intersection in e and e'

## The Epipolar Geometry

## Epipoles e, e’

= intersection of baseline with image planes
= projection of one projection center in the other image
= vanishing point of camera motion direction


An epipolar plane = plane containing baseline (1-D family) An epipolar line $=$ intersection of epipolar plane with image (always come in corresponding pairs)

## Example: Converging Cameras



## Example: Converging Cameras



## The Fundamental Matrix F

Algebraic representation of Epipolar Geometry:

$$
X \longmapsto 1^{\prime}
$$

We will see that mapping is (singular) correlation (i.e. projective mapping from points to lines) represented by the fundamental matrix F

## Points from Lines and Vice-versa

- Intersections of lines

The intersection of two lines 1 and $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ is $\mathrm{x}=1 \times \mathrm{l}^{\prime}=[l]_{x} l^{\prime}=-\left[l^{\prime}\right]_{x} l$

- Line joining two points

The line through two points x and $\mathrm{x}^{\prime}$ is $1=\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{x}]_{x} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=-\left[\mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right]_{x} \mathrm{x}$

- Anti-symmetric Matrix

$$
[l]_{x}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -l_{3} & l_{2} \\
l_{3} & 0 & -l_{1} \\
-l_{2} & l_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$



## The Fundamental Matrix F - Geometric Derivation



Mapping from 2-D to 1-D family (rank 2)

## The Fundamental Matrix F

Algebraic derivation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}(\lambda)=\mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{x}+\lambda \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{l}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{x} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

(note: doesn't work for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}=0$ )

## The Fundamental Matrix F

Correspondence condition:

The fundamental matrix satisfies the condition that for any pair of corresponding points $x \leftrightarrow x$ ' in the two images:

$$
x^{\prime T} F x=0 \quad\left(x^{\prime T} l^{\prime}=0\right)
$$

## The Fundamental Matrix F

$F$ is the unique $3 x 3$ rank 2 matrix that satisfies $x^{\prime \top} F x=0$ for all $x \leftrightarrow x^{\prime}$.
(i) Transpose: if F is fundamental matrix for ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ ), then $\mathrm{F}^{\top}$ is fundamental matrix for ( $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, \mathrm{P}$ )
(ii) Epipolar lines: $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}=\mathrm{Fx} \& \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{F}^{\top} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}$
(iii) Epipoles: on all epipolar lines, thus $e^{\top} T \mathrm{Fx}=0, \forall \mathrm{x}$ $\Rightarrow e^{\prime T} F=0$, similarly $\mathrm{Fe}=0$
(iv) $F$ has 7 d.o.f. , i.e. $3 \times 3-1$ (homogeneous)-1(rank2)
(v) F is a correlation, projective mapping from a point x to a line $l^{\prime}=F x$ (not a proper correlation, i.e. not invertible)

## The Epipolar Line Geometry

I,l' epipolar lines, $k$ line not through e
$\Rightarrow l^{\prime}=F[k]_{x} I$ and symmetrically $I=F^{\top}\left[k^{\prime}\right]_{x} l^{\prime}$

$l^{\prime}=F[\mathrm{e}]_{k} 1$

(pick k=e, since $\mathrm{e}^{\top} \mathrm{e} \neq 0$ )
$1=F^{\mathrm{T}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}\right]_{1} \mathrm{l}^{1}$

## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation



## Example: Motion Parallel with Image Plane



## Example: Forward Motion



3D Computer Vision II - Two View Geometry

## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] P^{\prime} P^{+} \\
& P^{\prime} P^{+}=P^{\prime} P^{t}\left(P P^{t}\right)^{-1}=K[I \mid T]\left[\frac{I}{0}\right] K^{t} K^{-t} K^{-1}=K K^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{\prime}}\right], \mathrm{KK}^{-1}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{x} \mathrm{H}_{\infty}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right], \quad\left(\mathrm{H}_{\infty}=\mathrm{KRK}^{-1}\right)
$$

## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{KK}^{-1}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]
$$

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{e}^{\prime}=(1,0,0)^{\top} \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]_{\times} \\
& \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Fx}=0 \Leftrightarrow y=y^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{\mathrm{\prime}} \mathrm{KK}^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] \\
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{PX}=\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] \mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{K}[\mathrm{I} \mid \mathrm{t}]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{x} \\
1 / Z
\end{array}\right] \quad(X, Y, Z)^{\top}=Z \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{x} \\
\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{Kt} / Z
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$



Motion starts at x and moves towards e , faster depending on $Z$

## Fundamental Matrix for Pure Translation

$$
\mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] \mathrm{KK}^{-1}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]
$$

Pure translation:
F only 2 d.o.f.
$x^{\top}[e]_{x} x=0 \Rightarrow$ auto-epipolar


## General Motion



## Projective Transformation and Invariance

Derivation based purely on projective concepts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Hx}, \hat{\mathrm{x}}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{H}^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{FH}^{-1} \\
& \hat{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathrm{~F}} \hat{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} x^{\prime}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \hat{\mathrm{~F}}=\mathrm{H}^{\prime-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{FH}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

F is not invariant to transformations of projective 2-space

## Projective Transformation and Invariance

$F$ is invariant to transformations of projective 3-space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=P X=(P H)\left(H^{-1} X\right)=\hat{P} \hat{X} \\
& x^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X=\left(P^{\prime} H\right)\left(H^{-1} X\right)=\hat{P}^{\prime} \hat{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

canonical form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] \\
& \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{M} \mid \mathrm{m}]
\end{aligned} \quad \mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{x} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{+} \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}=[\mathrm{m}]_{x} \mathrm{M}
$$

## Projective Transformation and Invariance

F is invariant to transformations of projective 3-space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=P X=(P H)\left(H^{-1} X\right)=\hat{P} \hat{X} \\
& x^{\prime}=P^{\prime} X=\left(P^{\prime} H\right)\left(H^{-1} X\right)=\hat{P}^{\prime} \hat{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

canonical form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] & \mathrm{F}=\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{k} \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{P}^{+} \Rightarrow \mathrm{F}=[\mathrm{m}]_{k} \mathrm{M} \\
\mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{M} \mid \mathrm{m}] & \\
\left(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right) \mapsto \mathrm{F} & \text { unique } \\
\mathrm{F} \mapsto\left(\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}\right) & \text { not unique }
\end{array}
$$

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

Previous slide: at least projective ambiguity!
This slide: no more than that!
 there exists a projective transformation H so that $\widetilde{P}=H P$ and $\widetilde{P}^{\prime}=H P^{\prime}$.

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \widetilde{\mathrm{P}} \mid \mathrm{a}] \\
& \mathrm{F}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] \\
&=[\mathrm{a}]_{\curvearrowright} \mathrm{A}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{ka} \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}=k^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{av}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{a}] \underline{\text { Lemma: }} \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}^{\prime}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{ka} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=[\mathrm{a}]_{x} \mathrm{~A}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}]_{x} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}=k^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{av}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{T}}[\mathrm{a}]_{x} \mathrm{~A}=0=\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} \Longrightarrow \text { rank } 2 \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{Ka}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{a}] & \text { Lemma: } \\
\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] & \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{ka} \\
\mathrm{~F}=[\mathrm{a}]_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~A}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}]_{\mathrm{A}} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}} & \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}=k^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{av}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\
\mathrm{aF}=\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{a}]_{\times} \mathrm{A}=0=\widetilde{\mathrm{a} F} \xlongequal{\text { rank } 2} \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{ka} \\
{[\mathrm{a}]_{\times} \mathrm{A}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}]_{k} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}} \Rightarrow[\mathrm{a}]_{\times}(\mathrm{k} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}-\mathrm{A})=0} \\
\Rightarrow(\mathrm{k} \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}-\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{av}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}
$$

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

Let us show that if $F$ is same for ( $P, P^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime}$ ), there exists a projective transformation $H$ so that $P=H \widetilde{P}$ and $P^{\prime}=H \widetilde{P}^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{a}] \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}^{\prime}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] \\
& H=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k^{-1} I & 0 \\
k^{-1} \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & k
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}=[\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{a}]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
k^{-1} I & 0 \\
k^{-1} \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{T}} & k
\end{array}\right]=\left[k^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{av}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mid k \mathrm{a}\right]=\widetilde{\mathrm{P}}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Projective Ambiguity of Cameras Given F

Let us show that if $F$ is same for ( $P, P^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\widetilde{P}, \widetilde{P}^{\prime}$ ), there exists a projective transformation $H$ so that $P=H \widetilde{P}$ and $P^{\prime}=H \widetilde{P}^{\prime}$.

Makes also sense with regard to the degrees of freedom:


## Canonical Cameras Given F

$F \neq 0$ is a fundamental matrix corresponding to $P$ and $P^{\prime}$ if and only if $P^{\top} F P$ is skew-symmetric.

Proof:
If $S$ is skew-symmetric matrix:

$$
\left(X^{\mathrm{T}} S X=0, \forall X\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{FPX}=0, \forall \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{PX}, \mathrm{X}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{X}^{\prime} \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{P}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{FPX}=0 \Rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{FX}=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Canonical Cameras Given F

If $F$ a fundamental matrix, $S$ any skew-symmetric matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] \\
& \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\left[\mathrm{SF} \mid \mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e^{\prime}$ is the epipole (i.e. $e^{\prime \top} F=0$ ) and $\left[S F \mid e^{\prime}\right]$ of rank 3 ,
$F$ is then the fundamental matrix corresponding to ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P}^{\prime}$ )

$$
\left[S F \mid e^{\prime}\right]^{\mathrm{T}} F[I \mid 0]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
F^{\mathrm{T}} S^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} & 0 \\
\mathrm{e}^{\prime \mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{T}} S^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~F} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Canonical Cameras Given F

Possible choice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] \quad \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\left[\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{\times} \mathrm{F} \mid \mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right] \\
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=[\mathrm{A} \mid \mathrm{a}] \quad \text { Lemma: } \\
& \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0], \widetilde{\mathrm{P}}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{A}} \mid \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}] \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{ka} \\
& \mathrm{~F}=[\mathrm{a}]_{\times} \mathrm{A}=[\widetilde{\mathrm{a}}]_{\times} \widetilde{\mathrm{A}}
\end{aligned} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{~A}}=k^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{av}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) .
$$

Canonical representation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=[\mathrm{I} \mid 0] \\
& \mathrm{P}^{\prime}=\left[\left[\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]_{x} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{T}} \mid \lambda \mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Essential Matrix

~ Fundamental matrix for calibrated cameras (remove K)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E=[t]_{x} R=R\left[R^{T} t\right]_{x} \\
& \hat{x}^{\prime T} E \hat{x}=0 \\
& E=K^{\prime T} F K \\
& 5 \text { d.o.f. ( } 3 \text { for } R ; 2 \text { for " } t \text { up to scale") }
\end{aligned}
$$

$E$ is essential matrix if and only if two singular values are equal (and third=0).

$$
\mathrm{E}=\operatorname{Udiag}(1,1,0) \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

## Reconstruction Ambiguity Using E



