

Practical Course on Machine Learning in Medical Imaging (2019WiSe) **Neural Networks**

Roger David Soberanis Mukul PhD Candidate

Chair for Computer Aided Medical Procedures Faculty of Informatics TU Munich roger.soberanis@tum.de



About me

General Interests:

- Machine learning for medical applications.
- Deep learning for medical applications
 - Medical image segmentation (pancreas).
 - Localization and Classification in Medical images (polyps, colon, endoscopic sequences)



- Lets suppose we have a set of data points $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ from some event, experiment, process, etc.
 - *x* is a vector representing a particular sample of the process.
 - Ω is the set of all the possible samples.



- Lets suppose we have a set of data points $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ from some event, experiment, process, etc.
 - *x* is a vector representing a particular sample of the process.
 - Ω is the set of all the possible samples.

• In a general supervised learning setting, we can define a function $f: \Omega \to Y$ relating each sample in Ω with one particular target $y \in Y$.



- Lets suppose we have a set of data points $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ from some event, experiment, process, etc.
 - *x* is a vector representing a particular sample of the process.
 - Ω is the set of all the possible samples.

• In a general supervised learning setting, we can define a function $f: \Omega \to Y$ relating each sample in Ω with one particular target $y \in Y$.

For a classification problem Y is a set of discrete values (e.g. Y = {0, 1, 2, ..., k − 1}) and |Y| = k the number of classes.



Supervised Learning: Given a training set $T = \{(x_j, y_j) | j = 1, ..., m, x_j \in \Omega, y_j \in Y, f(x_j) = y_j\}$, the problem is to use T to find a function $h: \Omega \to Y$, so h is a "good" approximation of f.

- How to define *h* (artificial neural networks).
- How to measure how "good" is *h* (loss function).
- How to use *T* to find a "good" *h* (training algorithm).



Artificial Neurons

An artificial neuron is a unit that takes a set of real-valued inputs, performs some operation o(·) and produces a single real-valued output.



The perceptron neuron is defined by the following function:

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = o(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } \mathbf{wx} + b > 0\\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

or

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x} + b)$$

Where $w = [w_1, w_2, ..., w_n]$ and b are the weights and bias of the neuron and define a particular instance of the perceptron unit. We can also simplify the notation by writing:

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x})$$

with $w_0 = b$ and $x_0 = 1$.

Considering the sets $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^2$ and $Y = \{-1, 1\}$ and the values -1 and 1 representing the logic values false and true we can use perceptron to represent Boolean functions.



Computer Aided Medical Procedures

October 31, 2019 Slide 9

Considering the sets $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^2$ and $Y = \{-1, 1\}$ and the values -1 and 1 representing the logic values false and true we can use perceptron to represent Boolean functions.

$$o_{\text{and}}(x) = \text{sgn}([0.5, 0.5]x - 0.3)$$
 $o_{\text{or}}(x) = \text{sgn}([0.5, 0.5]x + 0.3)$



Considering the sets $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^2$ and $Y = \{-1, 1\}$ and the values -1 and 1 representing the logic values false and true we can use perceptron to represent Boolean functions.

$$o_{\text{and}}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn}([0.5, 0.5]\mathbf{x} - 0.3)$$
 $o_{\text{or}}(\mathbf{x}) = \text{sgn}([0.5, 0.5]\mathbf{x} + 0.3)$



Learning Perceptron's Weights

Learning the weights for one single perceptron.

- Randomly initialize all the weights.
- Iteratively update the weights according to:

 $w_i = w_i + \alpha (y - o(\mathbf{x})) x_i$ (perceptron rule)

For a particular input x with target (or class) $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ and with α the learning rate.

Works well with linearly separable data.



Learning Perceptron's Weights

Learning the weights for one single perceptron using gradient descent.

- We will consider units with no thresholding (linear units): o(x) = wx
- Loss function (e.g.): $E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1...,m} [y_j o(x_j)]^2$
- The weights will be updated according its gradient (moving in the opposite direction):

$$w_i = w_i - \alpha \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_i}$$

$$w_i = w_i + \alpha \sum_{j=1\dots,m} [y_j - o(\mathbf{x}_j)] x_{ij}$$

With x_{ij} the component x_i of input example x_j .

• Differentiable non linear inputs, e.g. sigmoid units.

$$o(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}}}$$

• Loss function considering k outputs units $[o_0, ..., o_{k-1}]$, e.g.

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1...,m-1} \sum_{i=1,...,k} [\mathbf{y}_{ij} - o_{ij}]^2$$

Where y_{ij} is the component y_i or the target vector $\mathbf{y}_j = [y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}]$ and $o_i(\mathbf{x}_j)$ is the component o_i of the final output vector of the network when evaluated on the sample \mathbf{x}_j .





For a network with one hidden layer with k_h neurons and an output layers with k units:

- Initialize the weights to small random numbers.
- Do until termination conditions is met:
 - For each training example (x, y):
 - Propagate the input forward through the network (evaluate the output for every neuron).
 - Propagate the errors backward through the network:
 - 1. Compute the error term δ_r for each output unit o_r :

$$\delta_r = o_r (1 - o_r) (y_r - o_r)$$

2. Compute the error δ_h for each hidden unit o_h :

$$\delta_h = o_h (1 - o_h) \sum_{r=1,\dots,k} w_{rh} \delta_r$$

3. Update the weighs: $w_{ji} = w_{ji} + \alpha \delta_j x_{ji}$, with x_{ji} and w_{ji} the *i*th input component to unit *j* and its corresponding weight, respectively.



- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

z_j = w_jx_j the weighted sum of inputs.
g_j = g(z_j) the activation function of unit j.





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}}$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}}$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients



- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j}$$





October 31, 2019 Slide 21

- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} g'_j$$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} g'_j = g'_j \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial o_j}$$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit *j* to input *i*

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} g'_j = g'_j \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial o_j}$$

For a hidden unit, we need to consider all the neurons in the next layer:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} = \sum_{k \in \text{nxt}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial g_j}$$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit j to input i

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} g'_j = g'_j \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial o_j}$$

For a hidden unit, we need to consider all the neurons in the next layer:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} = \sum_{k \in \text{nxt}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial g_j} = \sum_{k \in \text{nxt}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_k} w_{kj}$$





- Consider:
 - x_{ji} the input *i* to unit *j*.
 - w_{ji} the weight of unit j to input i

- $z_j = w_j x_j$ the weighted sum of inputs.
- $g_j = g(z_j)$ the activation function of unit j.

In order to update the weights, we need to compute the gradients

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} x_{ji}$$

For a output unit:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} g'_j = g'_j \frac{\partial E_j}{\partial o_j}$$

For a hidden unit, we need to consider all the neurons in the next layer:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial g_j} = \sum_{k \in \text{nxt}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_k} \frac{\partial z_k}{\partial g_j} = \sum_{k \in \text{nxt}(j)} \frac{\partial E}{\partial z_k} w_{kj}$$

 $W_{kj} \overline{\partial z_k}$

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial z_i} = g'_j$



• The backpropagation algorithm does not guarantee to converge to a local maxima, but does guarantee to converge at least to a local minima.



- The backpropagation algorithm does not guarantee to converge to a local maxima, but does guarantee to converge at least to a local minima.
- Adding momentum in the the weight update rule could help in this problem.

$$\Delta w_{ji}(n) = \alpha \delta_j x_{ji} + \beta \Delta w_{ji}(n-1)$$
$$w_{ji} = w_{ji} + \Delta w_{ji}(n)$$

With $\Delta w_{ji}(n)$ the weight update in the *n*th learning iteration.



- The backpropagation algorithm does not guarantee to converge to a global minima, but does guarantee to converge to a local minima.
- Adding momentum in the the weight update rule could help in this problem.

$$\Delta w_{ji}(n) = \alpha \delta_j x_{ji} + \beta \Delta w_{ji}(n-1)$$
$$w_{ji} = w_{ji} + \Delta w_{ji}(n)$$

With $\Delta w_{ji}(n)$ the weight update in the *n*th learning iteration.

• In order to avoid overfitting we can add a regularization term to the loss function (not the only option, e.g. dropout)

$$\tilde{E}(\boldsymbol{w}) = E(\boldsymbol{w}) + \lambda \sum_{i,j} w_{ji}^2$$



Learning Multilayer Networks: Activation and Loss

- The next are common activation functions for use in multilayered neural networks
- Sigmoid unit.

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

• Rectified Linear Unit

 $f(z) = \max(0, z)$

• Softmax output layer

$$\sigma(\mathbf{z})_j = \frac{e^{z_j}}{\sum_{c=1,\dots,k} e^{z_c}}$$



October 31, 2019 Slide 30

Learning Multilayer Networks: Activation and Loss

• The next are common loss functions used in multilayered neural networks

• MSE Loss

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1...,m} [y_j - H(x_j)]^2$$

• Cross Entropy Loss

$$E = -\sum_{j=1...,m} y_j \log \left(H(\mathbf{x}_j) \right)$$



References

- Tom M. Mitchel. *Machine Learning*. McGraw-Hill Science/Engineering/Math; 1999.
- Srivastava, Hinton, Krizhevsky, Sutskever, and Salakhutdinov. *Dropout: A Simple Way* to Prevent Neural Networks from Overfitting. 2014
- Nair and Hinton. *Rectified Linear Units Improve Restricted Boltzmann Machines*. Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning. 2010.
- Goh. *Why Momentum Really Works*. Distill, 2017. https://distill.pub/2017/momentum/

