





Projected Gradient Method for Regularized Cryo-Electron Tomographic Reconstruction S. Albarqouni^{1,2}, T. Lasser¹, W. Alkhaldi², A. Al-Amoudi², N. Navab^{1,3}

1. Computer Aided Medical Procedure (CAMP), Technishe Universität München, Munich, Germany 2. Deutsches Zentrum für Neurodegenerative Erkrankungen (DZNE), Bonn, Germany

3. Computer Aided Medical Procedures (CAMP), Johns Hopkins University, USA

Introduction

Cryo-Electron Tomography:

Weighted Back Projection (WBP) and Algebraic Reconstruction Technique (ART) are the most common methods for 2D/3D tomographic reconstruction in Cryo-Electron Tomography (Cryo-ET). Developing a reconstruction technique that incorporates the sparsely sampled data, the noise level, and that preserves the structural edges while pushing the limits of resolution further, is highly desirable for the Cryo-ET community [1].

Contribution:

We develop the Gradient Projection for Cryo-Electron Tomographic Reconstruction (GPTR), Here, we propose a new regularized reconstruction technique based on projected gradient algorithm

Methodology & GPTR Algorithm:

- Given a 2D aligned projection stack from the Electron Microscopy instrument (typically 50-60 projections with resolution 2Kx2K).
- Slice-Wise reconstruction: collecting the corresponding slices from the projections to build up the sinogram, then stack them together in one vector (b).
- Based on the Electron Tomography configuration, the system matrix (A) is built by [2] using Discrete Radon Transform.
- Now, we can formulate the reconstruction problem as an Optimization problem trying to minimize the objective function as follows:

$$x_{opt} = \underset{x \in \Omega}{\arg\min} \|b - Ax\|_2^2 + \beta \phi(x)$$

- Leading technique to investigate the three dimensional structure of biological specimen at close-to-native state.
- The technique consists of acquiring many two dimensional (2D) projections of the structure under scrutiny at various tilt angles under cryogenic conditions.
- The 3D structure is recovered through a number of steps including projection alignment and reconstruction.

Challenges:

- Severe Low Signal to Noise Ratio
- Limited Angular Coverage (Missing Wedge)
- Few number of projections due to limited electron dose.
- Non-uniform FFT data distribution
- Tradeoff between the reconstruction resolution and the contrast.



- The Huber function is used as a regularizer term in the objective ulletfunction for the following goals:
 - Keep the Objective function convex and smooth.
 - Push the solution to Sparsity
- Based on the Gradient Projection Algorithm [3], GPTR is \bullet developed to solve the problem

Experiments & Results:

Experiments and Results:

- Synthetic data from PDB database (GroEL)
 - 40 Projections
 - Tilt angles = -60° to 60° (3° increment)

 - 64x64 (pixel size=12.6nm)



- **Real data**[4]:
 - 58 Projections, tilt angles = -58° to 58° (2° increment)
 - SNR=0.1 \bullet
 - 1Kx1K (down-sampled)







References:

[1] Diebolder, C.A. et al.: Pushing the resolution limits in cryo electron tomography of biological structures. J. Microsc. 248, 1, 1–5 (2012).

[2] Hansen, P.C., Saxild-Hansen, M.: AIR Tools–A MATLAB package of algebraic iterative reconstruction methods. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 236, 8, 216–2178 (2012).

[3] Figueiredo, M.A.T. et al.: Gradient Projection for Sparse Reconstruction: Application to Compressed Sensing and Other Inverse Problems. IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process. 1, 4, 586–597 (2007)

[4] Dumoux, M. et al.: Chlamydiae assemble a pathogen synapse to hijack the host endoplasmic reticulum. Traffic. 13, 12, 1612–1627 (2012)

